Christchurch Replacement District Plan

Te paepae motuhake o te mahere whakahou a rohe o Ōtautahi

| | IN THE MATTER OF | section 71 of the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act 2011 and the Canterbury Earthquake (Christchurch Replacement District Plan) Order 2014 |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | AND | |
| | IN THE MATTER OF | an application to make minor corrections to Decision 51 pursuant to cl 16, Schedule 3 of the Order |
| Minor correction: | 3 May 2017 | |
| Hearing Panel: | Hon Sir John Hansen (Chair), Environment Judge John Hassan (Deputy Chair), Ms Sarah Dawson, Dr Philip Mitchell, Ms Jane Huria | |

Minor corrections to Decision 51 — Chapter 9 Natural and Cultural Heritage (Part) Sub-chapter 9.5 Ngāi Tahu Values

[1] The Hearings Panel ('the Panel') issued its decision on Chapter 9 Natural and Cultural Heritage Sub-chapter 9.5 Ngāi Tahu Values ('Decision 51') on 21 October 2016.

On 12 April 2017, Lyttelton Port Company ('LPC') filed an application requesting [2] minor corrections to Sub-chapter 9.5 that arise as a consequence of the provisions in Chapter 21 Specific Purpose (Lyttelton Port) Zone ('SPLPZ').

[3] The Christchurch City Council ('Council') and Ngāi Tahu consent to the application.

In its application, LPC points out that Sub-chapter 9.5 Ngāi Tahu Values and Natural [4] Environment within the Natural and Cultural Heritage Chapter does not state that some subchapters' provisions do not apply within the SPLPZ.

LPC accordingly seeks a minor correction to 9.5.3 to clarify that the sub-chapter applies [5] to discretionary and non-complying activities within the SPLPZ, but it does not apply to

permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities within the SPLPZ. Both the Council and Ngāi Tahu consent to the changes sought.

- [6] The suggested and agreed alteration is to 9.5.3, to add:
 - p. Sub-chapter 9.5 applies to discretionary and non-complying activities within the Specific Purpose (Lyttelton Port) Zone, but does not apply to permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities within the Specific Purpose (Lyttelton Port) Zone.

Jurisdiction to make minor changes

[7] We have previously set out our jurisdiction in this regard, and it is unnecessary to repeat it. We are, however, satisfied that under cl 16 of Schedule 3 to the OIC we have jurisdiction to accept the correction sought by LPC, as it clearly meets the requirements of that schedule.

Minor correction

[8] Accordingly, we add to 9.5.3 the clause set out above.

For the Hearings Panel:

Hon Sir John Hansen Chair

Ms Sarah Dawson Panel Member

Environment Judge John Hassan Deputy Chair

Dr Philip Mitchell Panel Member

Ms Jane Huria Panel Member

